

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America)
v.)
TIMOTHY BERNARD GREEN) Case No: 3:01CR00217-002
) USM No: 17534-058
Date of Previous Judgment: July 29, 2003) Charles Morgan
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)) Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: _____ Amended Offense Level: _____
Criminal History Category: _____ Criminal History Category: _____
Previous Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months Amended Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
☒ Other (explain): No reduction as the defendant was held responsible for only cocaine powder, not cocaine base.

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated July 29, 2003 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: July 9, 2009

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)



Graham C. Mullen
United States District Judge

